

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/816,272	04/02/2004		Deuk-hwan Chang	46327	9855
1609	7590	08/10/2006		EXAMINER	
	•	AMS, BERDO &	KOHNER, MATTHEW J		
1300 19TH S' SUITE 600	IREEI,	N.W.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTO	ON,, DC	20036	3653		

DATE MAILED: 08/10/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	tion No. Applicant(s)						
	10/816,272	CHANG, DEUK-HWAN						
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Matthew J. Kohner	3653						
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time  rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).						
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 Ap	oril 2004.							
	action is non-final.							
· <u> </u>	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12 and 14-25</u> is/are rejected.								
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>13 and 26</u> is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• •						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of</li> </ul>	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No Id in this National Stage						
Attachment(s)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/2/04;6/29/05.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:							

Application/Control Number: 10/816,272

• Art Unit: 3653

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Information Disclosure Statement

Examiner could not locate the Korean Office Action listed in the IDS of June 29, 2005. Therefore, it has been lined through on the IDS and not considered.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 3, 10-12, 14, 16, and 23-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US Patent No. 5,078,380 to Kitazawa (hereinafter "Kitazawa").

In regard to claims 1 and 14, Kitazawa discloses a paper feeding apparatus of a printing machine, comprising:

- a paper feeding tray (87) for stacking a plurality of printing papers therein;
- a pick-up unit (201) having a pick-up roller (89) which rotates at an upper part of the paper feeding tray to pick up the printing paper;
- a knock-up plate (85) moving up and down in the paper feeding tray to contact the printing paper of the paper feeding tray with the pick-up roller to lift the printing paper;
- a separation member (93) for separating the printing paper picked up by the pick-up roller sheet by sheet;

• a sensor (301) for detecting a distance between the printing paper and the pick-up roller; and

• a lifting device (see Fig. 6) for lifting the knock-up plate by a signal of the sensor to maintain a constant contacting status of the printing paper and the pick-up roller.

In regard to claim 3 and 16, see Fig. 3.

In regard to Claims 10, 11, 23 and 24, see col. 7, lines 39-43.

In regard to Claim 12 and 25, see Fig. 6, see also col. 7, lines 44-63.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kitazawa in view of US Patent No. 6,648,322 to Park (*hereinafter* "Park").

Kitazawa discloses a pick-up roller (89) but does not specifically disclose a gear train.

However, Park discloses a gear train. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a gear train, as taught by Park, because gearing would allow the power for rotating the pick roller to be transmitted to the pick roller from a drive source.

Claims 4 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kitazawa in view of US Patent No. 5,954,327 to Lin et al. (*hereinafter* "Lin").

Kitazawa discloses a pressure plate (87) but does not specifically disclose a spring to urge the pressure plate down. However, Lin discloses such a spring (161). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a spring attached to the bottom of the pressure plate, as taught by Lin, because it would ensure the pressure plate was urged against the force driving the plate upward.

Claims 5-9, and 18-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over United Kingdom Patent No. 2,230,000 A to Rawson (*hereinafter* "Rawson") in view of Kitazawa.

In regard to claims 5 and 18, Rawson discloses a paper feeding apparatus of a printing machine, comprising:

- a paper feeding tray (1) for stacking a plurality of printing papers therein;
- a pick-up unit (see Fig 1) having a pick-up roller (4) which rotates at an upper part of the paper feeding tray to pick up the printing paper; and
- a separation member (6/7) for separating the printing paper picked up by the pick-up roller sheet by sheet wherein the separation member has an arrangement part (6) and a separation part (7; see also page 2, lines 34 page 3, line 5).

Rawson does not disclose:

• a knock-up plate moving up and down in the paper feeding tray to contact the printing paper of the paper feeding tray with the pick-up roller to lift the printing paper;

• a sensor for detecting a distance between the printing paper and the pick-up roller; or

Page 5

• a lifting device for lifting the knock-up plate by a signal of the sensor to maintain a constant contacting status of the printing paper and the pick-up roller.

However, Kitazawa discloses

• a knock-up plate (85) moving up and down in the paper feeding tray to contact the printing paper of the paper feeding tray with the pick-up roller to lift the printing paper;

• a sensor (301) for detecting a distance between the printing paper and the pick-up roller; and

• a lifting device (see Fig. 6) for lifting the knock-up plate by a signal of the sensor to maintain a constant contacting status of the printing paper and the pick-up roller.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Rawson to include a knock up plate, a sensor and a lifting device, as taught by Kitazawa, because the as Kitazawa discloses the structure provides the advantage of simplifying the device by removing a spring (col. 10, lines 3-5).

In regard to claims 6 and 19, see the top of weir plate (6) in Fig. 1.

In regard to claims 7, 8, 20 and 21, see Fig. 1.

In regard to claims 9 and 22, Rawson does not discloses the separation member is integrally formed with the tray, however, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Rawson to make the separation member integral since it has been held that forming in one piece an article that has formerly been formed in multiple pieces and put together involves only routing skill in the art. *Howard v. Detroit Stove Works*, 150 US 164 (1893).

Art Unit: 3653

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 13 and 26 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Matthew J. Kohner whose telephone number is 571-272-6939. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 9-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick Mackey can be reached on 571-272-6916. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/816,272 Page 7

Art Unit: 3653

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Matthew J. Kohner Examiner Art Unit 3653

mjk

PATRICK MACKEY PRIMARY EXAMINER